



# Solvability of Multipoint Boundary Value Problems at Resonance for Higher-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

XIAOJIE LIN

Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University  
Xuzhou, 221009, P.R. China  
[linxiaojie1973@163.com](mailto:linxiaojie1973@163.com)

ZENGJI DU\*

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology  
Beijing 100081, P.R. China  
[duzengji@163.com](mailto:duzengji@163.com) [gew@bit.edu.cn](mailto:gew@bit.edu.cn)

WEIGAO GE

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology  
Beijing 100081, P.R. China  
[gew@bit.edu.cn](mailto:gew@bit.edu.cn)

(Received August 2003; accepted October 2004)

**Abstract**—Let  $f : [0, 1] \times R^n \rightarrow R$  be a continuous function and  $e \in L^1[0, 1]$ . Let  $\beta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m-2$ )  $\in R$ ,  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$  be given. This paper is concerned with the existence of solutions for the following  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order multipoint boundary value problems at resonance case

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(n)}(t) &= f(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)) + e(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) &= \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, & x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(n)}(t) &= f(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)) + e(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) &= \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, & x'(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x'(\eta_j). \end{aligned}$$

Some existence results are obtained by using the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords**—Multipoint boundary value problem, Resonance, Coincidence degree theory.

Sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10371006).

\*Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the referees for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order boundary value problem (BVP),

$$x^{(n)}(t) = f\left(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)\right) + e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j), \quad (2)$$

and

$$x^{(n)}(t) = f\left(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)\right) + e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \quad x'(1)t = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x'(\eta_j). \quad (3)$$

Where  $f : [0, 1] \times R^n \longrightarrow R$  is a continuous function,  $e \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\beta_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m-2$ )  $\in R$  and  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$ .

We say that BVP (1),(2) or BVP (1),(3) is a problem at resonance, if the linear equation,

$$x^{(n)}(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

with the boundary condition (2) or (3) has nontrivial solutions. Otherwise, we call them a problem at nonresonance.

In the present work, if  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ , then, BVP (1),(2) is at resonance, since equation  $x^{(n)}(t) = 0$  with boundary condition (2) has nontrivial solutions  $x = ct^{n-1}$ ,  $c \in R$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-2} = 1$ , then BVP (1),(3) is at resonance, since equation  $x^{(n)}(t) = 0$  with boundary condition (3) has nontrivial solutions  $x = ct^{n-1}$ ,  $c \in R$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Feng [1,2], Prezeradzki and Stanczy [3], Liu [4], and Gupta [5–7] studied the existence of solutions for some second-order multipoint boundary value problems at resonance. Gupta [8], Ma [9], and Nagle and Pothoven [10] studied the existence for some third-order third-point boundary value problems at resonance. However, rare works are done for higher-order multipoint boundary value problems at resonance.

For the nonresonance case of higher-order boundary value problems, for instance, we refer to [11,12] and the references therein.

The purpose of our paper is to discuss the existence of solutions for higher order multipoint BVP (1),(2) at resonance (i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ ) and BVP (1),(3) at resonance (i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-2} = 1$ ). Our method is based on the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin [13,14].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

For the convenience of the reader to understand the coincidence degree theory, we briefly recall some definitions [13–15].

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $Y, Z$  be real Banach spaces, the linear operator  $L : \text{dom}L \subset Y \longrightarrow Z$  is said to be a Fredholm map of index zero provided that  $\text{Ker}L$ , the kernel of  $L$ , is of the same finite dimension as the  $Z/\text{Im}L$ , where  $\text{Im}L$  is the image of  $L$ .

Let  $L$  be a Fredholm map of index zero, and  $P : Y \longrightarrow Y$ ,  $Q : Z \longrightarrow Z$  be continuous projectors, such that  $\text{Im}P = \text{Ker}L$ ,  $\text{Ker}Q = \text{Im}L$  and  $Y = \text{Ker}L \oplus \text{Ker}P$ ,  $Z = \text{Im}L \oplus \text{Im}Q$ . We denote the inverse of the map  $L|_{\text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P} : \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P \longrightarrow \text{Im}L$  by  $K_P$ , i.e.,  $K_P = (L|_{\text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P})^{-1} : \text{Im}L \longrightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $L$  be a Fredholm map of index zero and  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $Y$ , such that  $\text{dom}L \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ , the map  $N : Y \longrightarrow Z$  is said to be  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , if the map  $QN(\bar{\Omega})$  is bounded and  $K_P(I - Q)N : \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow Y$  is compact.

For more details, see [14,15].

The following theorem is the Mawhin theorem, which can be found in [13, Theorem IV.13] or [14, Theorem 2.4].

THEOREM A. Let  $L$  be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let  $N$  be  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i)  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ , for every  $(x, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}L \setminus \text{Ker}L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$ .
- (ii)  $Nx \notin \text{Im}L$ , for every  $x \in \text{Ker}L \cap \partial\Omega$ .
- (iii)  $\deg(JQN|_{\text{Ker}L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0) \neq 0$ , where  $J : \text{Im}Q \longrightarrow \text{Ker}L$  is a linear isomorphism,  $Q : Z \longrightarrow Z$  is a projection as above with  $\text{Im}L = \text{Ker}Q$ .

Then, the equation  $Lx = Nx$  has at least one solution in  $\text{dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega}$ .

In the following, we shall use the classical spaces  $C[0, 1], C^1[0, 1], \dots, C^{n-1}[0, 1]$  and  $L^1[0, 1]$ . For  $x \in C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ , we use the norm  $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$  and  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty\}$ , and denote the norm in  $L^1[0, 1]$  by  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . We will use the Sobolev space  $W^{n,1}(0, 1)$ , which may be defined by

$$W^{n,1}(0, 1) = \left\{ x : [0, 1] \longrightarrow R \mid x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)} \right. \\ \left. \text{are absolutely continuous on } [0, 1] \text{ with } x^{(n)} \in L^1[0, 1] \right\}.$$

Let  $Y = C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ ,  $Z = L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $L$  is the linear operator from  $\text{dom}L \subset Y$  to  $Z$  with

$$\text{dom}L = \left\{ x \in W^{n,1}(0, 1) : x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j) \right\}$$

and  $Lx = x^{(n)}$ ,  $x \in \text{dom}L$ . We define  $N : Y \longrightarrow Z$  by setting

$$Nx = f\left(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)\right) + e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Then, BVP (1),(2) can be written as  $Lx = Nx$ .

In order to apply Theorem A, in the following Lemma 1, we shall show that  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero and construct a linear continuous projector operator  $Q$  satisfying Condition (iii) in Theorem A.

LEMMA 1. If  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n \neq 1$ , then,

- (i)  $\text{Ker}L = \{x \in \text{dom}L : x = ct^{n-1}, c \in R, t \in [0, 1]\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Im}L = \{y \in Z : \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n = 0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $L : \text{dom}L \subset Y \longrightarrow Z$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero, and the linear continuous projector operator  $Q : Z \longrightarrow Z$  can be defined as

$$Qy = \frac{n!}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n.$$

- (iv) The linear operator  $K_P : \text{Im}L \longrightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$  can be written as

$$K_P y = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n$$

- (v)  $\|K_P y\| \leq \|y\|_1$ , for all  $y \in \text{Im}L$ .

PROOF.

(i) For  $\forall x \in \text{Ker}L$ , we have  $x^{(n)} = 0$ . Then, we obtain

$$x(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where  $a_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) \in R$ . From  $x(0) = x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ , we have  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-2} = 0$ . Again, from  $x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j)$ , one has  $a_{n-1} = a_{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1}$ . In view of  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ , we have that

$$\text{Ker}L = \{x \in \text{dom}L : x = ct^{n-1}, c \in R, t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

(ii) We show that

$$\text{Im}L = \left\{ y \in Z : \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = 0 \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Since the problem

$$x^{(n)} = y \quad (5)$$

has a solution  $x(t)$  satisfied  $x(0) = x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j)$ , if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = 0. \quad (6)$$

In fact, if (5) has solution  $x(t)$  satisfied  $x(0) = x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j)$ , then, from (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(0) + \frac{1}{1!} x'(0) t + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) t^{n-1} + \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) t^{n-1} + \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) + \int_0^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j) &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_0^{\eta_j} \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n. \end{aligned}$$

According to  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_0^{\eta_j} \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n.$$

From  $x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j)$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_0^{\eta_j} \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n,$$

again, from  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j = 1$ , one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = 0.$$

On the other hand, if (6) holds, setting

$$x(t) = ct^{n-1} + \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n,$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant, then  $x(t)$  is a solution of (5), and  $x(0) = x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $x(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x(\eta_j)$ . Hence, (4) is valid.

(iii) For  $y \in Z$ , we take the projector  $Qy$  as

$$Qy = \frac{n!}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n.$$

Let  $y_1 = y - Qy$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y_1(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \\ &\quad - Qy \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then,  $y_1 \in \text{Im}L$ . Hence,  $Z = \text{Im}L + R$ , since  $\text{Im}L \cap R = \{0\}$ , we have  $Z = \text{Im}L \oplus R$ , thus,

$$\dim \text{Ker}L = \dim R = \text{co dim Im}L = 1.$$

Hence,  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

(iv) Taking  $P : Y \longrightarrow Y$  as follows,

$$Px = x^{(n-1)}(0) t^{n-1},$$

then, the generalized inverse  $K_P : \text{Im}L \longrightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$  of  $L$  can be written as

$$K_P y = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n.$$

In fact, for  $y \in \text{Im}L$ , we have

$$(LK_P)y(t) = [(K_P y)(t)]^{(n)} = y(t),$$

and, for  $x \in \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$ , we know

$$\begin{aligned} (K_P L)x(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} x^{(n)}(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \\ &= x(t) - x(0) - \frac{1}{1!} x'(0) t - \cdots - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{(n-1)}(0) t^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of  $x \in \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$ ,  $x(0) = x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ , and  $Px = 0$ , thus,

$$(K_P L)x(t) = x(t).$$

This shows that  $K_P = (L|_{\text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P})^{-1}$ .

(v) From the definition of  $K_P$ , we have

$$\|K_P y\|_\infty \leq \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 |y(\tau_1)| d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = \|y\|_1,$$

and from

$$\begin{aligned} (K_P y)'(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_{n-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ (K_P y)^{(n-1)}(t) &= \int_0^t y(\tau_1) d\tau_1, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|(K_P y)'\|_\infty \leq \|y\|_1, \dots, \|(K_P y)^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \leq \|y\|_1,$$

then,  $\|K_P y\| \leq \|y\|_1$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. ■

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \times R^n \longrightarrow R$  be a continuous function, assume that*

(H<sub>1</sub>) *There exist functions  $a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ ,  $b(t)$ ,  $r(t) \in L^1[0, 1]$ , and constant  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , such that, for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , satisfying one of the following inequalities:*

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t) |x_i| \right) + b(t) |x_n|^\theta + r(t), \quad (7)$$

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t) |x_i| \right) + b(t) |x_{n-1}|^\theta + r(t), \quad (8)$$

$\vdots$

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t) |x_i| \right) + b(t) |x_1|^\theta + r(t). \quad (9)$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) *There exists a constant  $M > 0$ , such that, for  $x \in \text{dom}L$ , if  $|x^{(n-1)}(t)| > M$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f(\tau_1, x(\tau_1), x'(\tau_1), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(\tau_1)) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n \neq 0. \quad (10)$$

(H<sub>3</sub>) *There exists a constant  $M^* > 0$ , such that, for  $c \in R$ , if  $|c| > M^*$ , then, either*

$$c \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n < 0, \quad (11)$$

or else

$$c \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n > 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, for every  $e(t) \in L^1[0, 1]$ , the BVP (1),(2) with  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n \neq 1$ , has at least one solution in  $C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ , provided that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1 < 1/2$ .

PROOF. We need to construct the set  $\Omega$  satisfying all the conditions in Theorem A, which is separated into the following four steps.

STEP 1. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \text{dom} L \setminus \text{Ker} L : Lx = \lambda Nx, \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then,  $\Omega_1$  is bounded.

Suppose that  $x \in \Omega_1$ ,  $Lx = \lambda Nx$ , thus,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $Nx \in \text{Im} L = \text{Ker} Q$ , hence,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f(\tau_1, x(\tau_1), x'(\tau_1), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(\tau_1)) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n = 0,$$

thus, from  $(H_2)$ , there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $|x^{(n-1)}(t_0)| \leq M$ . In view of

$$x^{(n-1)}(0) = x^{(n-1)}(t_0) - \int_0^{t_0} x^{(n)}(t) dt,$$

then,

$$\|Px\| = |x^{(n-1)}(0)| \leq M + \|x^{(n)}\|_1 = M + \|Lx\|_1 \leq M + \|Nx\|_1. \quad (13)$$

Again for  $x \in \Omega_1$ ,  $x \in \text{dom} L \setminus \text{Ker} L$ , then  $(I - P)x \in \text{dom} L \cap \text{Ker} P$ ,  $LPx = 0$ , thus from Lemma 1, we know

$$\|(I - P)x\| = \|K_P L(I - P)x\| \leq \|L(I - P)x\|_1 = \|Lx\|_1 \leq \|Nx\|_1. \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14), we have

$$\|x\| \leq \|Px\| + \|(I - P)x\| = |x^{(n-1)}(0)| + \|(I - P)x\| \leq 2\|Nx\|_1 + M. \quad (15)$$

If (7) holds, then from (15), we obtain

$$\|x\| \leq 2 \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1 \|x^{(i-1)}\|_\infty \right) + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right], \quad (16)$$

where  $C_1 = \|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1 + M/2$ . Thus, from  $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|$  and (16), we have

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{1 - 2\|a_1\|_1} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \|a_i\|_1 \|x^{(i-1)}\|_\infty \right) + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right]. \quad (17)$$

From  $\|x'\|_\infty \leq \|x\|$ , (16) and (17), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'\|_\infty &\leq \|x\| \\ &\leq 2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2\|a_1\|_1}{1 - 2\|a_1\|_1} \right] \left[ \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \|a_i\|_1 \|x^{(i-1)}\|_\infty \right) + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{1 - 2\|a_1\|_1} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \|a_i\|_1 \|x^{(i-1)}\|_\infty \right) + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'\|_\infty &\leq \frac{2}{1 - 2(\|a_1\|_1 + \|a_2\|_1)} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=3}^n \|a_i\|_1 \|x^{(i-1)}\|_\infty \right) + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right], \\ &\vdots \\ \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty &\leq \frac{2}{1 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|a_i\|_1} \left[ \|a_n\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty + \|b\|_1 \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + C_1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

then,

$$\|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{2\|b\|_1}{1 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1} \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty^\theta + \frac{2C_1}{1 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}. \quad (19)$$

Since  $\theta \in [0, 1)$ , from (19), there exist  $M_1 > 0$ , such that

$$\|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \leq M_1, \quad (20)$$

thus, from (20) and (18), there exist  $M_2 > 0$ , such that

$$\|x^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \leq M_2. \quad (21)$$

Similarly, there exist  $M_i > 0$ , ( $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ ), such that

$$\|x^{(n-i)}\|_\infty \leq M_i, \quad i = 3, 4, \dots, n, \quad (22)$$

hence,

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \right\} \leq \max \{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}.$$

Again, from (7), and (20)–(22), we have

$$\|x^{(n)}\|_1 \leq \|a_1\|_1 M_n + \dots + \|a_{n-1}\|_1 M_2 + (\|a_n\|_1 + \|b\|_1) M_1 + \|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1.$$

Then, we show that  $\Omega_1$  is bounded.

If (8) or (9) holds, similar to the above argument, we can prove  $\Omega_1$  is bounded too.

STEP 2. The set  $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \text{Ker} L : Nx \in \text{Im} L\}$  is bounded.

Let  $x \in \Omega_2$ ,  $x \in \text{Ker} L = \{x \in \text{dom} L : x = ct^{n-1}, c \in R, t \in [0, 1]\}$ , and  $Q Nx = 0$ , thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n = 0,$$

From (H<sub>2</sub>), there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $|x^{(n-1)}(t_0)| \leq M$ , i.e.,  $|(n-1)!c| \leq M$ . Then, we have  $\|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty = |x^{(n-1)}| = |(n-1)!c| \leq M$ , thus,

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \right\} = \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty \leq M,$$

so the set  $\Omega_2$  is bounded.

STEP 3. If the first part of (H<sub>3</sub>) holds, that is, there exists  $M^* > 0$ , such that, for any  $c \in R$ , if  $|c| > M^*$ , then,

$$c \cdot C_2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n < 0, \quad (23)$$

where

$$C_2 = \frac{n!}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n}.$$

Let

$$\Omega_3 = \{x \in \text{Ker} L : -\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) J Q N x = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\},$$



here,  $J : \text{Im}Q \longrightarrow \text{Ker}L$  is the linear isomorphism given by  $J(c) = ct^{n-1}$ ,  $\forall c \in R$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $\Omega_3$  is bounded.

Since, for  $x = c_0 t^{n-1} \in \Omega_3$ , then, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\lambda c_0 t^{n-1} = C_3 t^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c_0 \tau_1^{n-1}, c_0(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c_0 \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n,$$

where

$$C_3 = (1 - \lambda) C_2 = \frac{(1 - \lambda) \cdot n!}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^n},$$

or equivalently,

$$\lambda c_0 = C_3 \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c_0 \tau_1^{n-1}, c_0(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c_0 \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n.$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ , then,  $c_0 = 0$ . Otherwise, if  $|c_0| > M^*$ , in view of (23), one has

$$\lambda c_0^2 = c_0 \cdot C_3 \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c_0 \tau_1^{n-1}, c_0(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c_0 \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n < 0,$$

which contradicts  $\lambda c_0^2 \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\Omega_3 \subset \{x \in \text{Ker}L : \|x\| \leq (n-1)!M^*\}$  is bounded.

STEP 4. If the second part of  $(H_3)$  holds, that is, there exists  $M^* > 0$ , such that, for any  $c \in R$ , if  $|c| > M^*$ , then,

$$c \cdot C_2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_n} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} [f(\tau_1, c \tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!) + e(\tau_1)] d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_n > 0.$$

Let  $\Omega_3 = \{x \in \text{Ker}L : \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) JQN x = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ , here,  $J$  as in Step 3. Similar to the argument in Step 3, we can verify  $\Omega_3$  is bounded.

Now, we shall prove that all the conditions of Theorem A are satisfied.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $Y$ , such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \bar{\Omega}_i \subset \Omega$ . By the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we can show that  $K_P(I - Q)N : \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow Y$  is compact, thus,  $N$  is  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then, by the above argument, we have

- (i)  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ , for every  $(x, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}L \setminus \text{Ker}L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$ ;
- (ii)  $Nx \notin \text{Im}L$ , for every  $x \in \text{Ker}L \cap \partial\Omega$ ;
- (iii) If the first part of  $(H_3)$  holds, then, we let

$$H(x, \lambda) = -\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) JQN x.$$

According to the above argument, we know  $H(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ , for  $x \in \text{Ker}L \cap \partial\Omega$ , by the homotopy property of degree, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(JQN|_{\text{Ker}L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0) &= \deg(H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0) \\ &= \deg(H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0) \\ &= \deg(-I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0). \end{aligned}$$

According to definition of degree on a space which is isomorphic to  $R^l, l < \infty$ , and

$$\Omega \cap \text{Ker} L = \{ct^{n-1} : |c| < d\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(-I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0) &= \deg(-J^{-1}IJ, J^{-1}(\Omega \cap \text{Ker} L), J^{-1}\{0\}) \\ &= \deg(-I, (-d, d), 0) = -1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the second part of condition (3) of Theorem 2.2 holds, let

$$H(x, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) JQNx.$$

Similar to the above argument, we have

$$\deg(JQN|_{\text{Ker} L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0) = \deg(I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0) = 1,$$

since  $0 \in \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L$ .

Then, we have

$$\deg(JQN|_{\text{Ker} L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0) \neq 0.$$

Then by, Theorem A,  $Lx = Nx$  has at least one solution in  $\text{dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$ , so that the BVP (1),(2) has at least one solution in  $C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ . The proof is completed.  $\blacksquare$

Now, we discuss the existence of solution of BVP (1),(3).

Let  $Y = C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ ,  $Z = L^1[0, 1]$ , the map  $N$  and the linear operator  $L$  are the same as above, and let

$$\text{dom} L = \left\{ x \in W^{n,1}(0, 1) : x(0) = x'(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, x'(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j x'(\eta_j) \right\}.$$

By using the same method as in the proof of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1, we can show the following Lemma 2 and Theorem 2.

LEMMA 2. If  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-2} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} \neq 1$ , then,

- (i)  $\text{Ker} L = \{x \in \text{dom} L : x = ct^{n-1}, c \in R, t \in [0, 1]\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Im} L = \{y \in Z : \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-1} = 0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $L : \text{dom} L \subset Y \longrightarrow Z$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero, and the linear continuous projector operator  $Q : Z \longrightarrow Z$  can be defined as

$$Qy = \frac{(n-1)!}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-1},$$

- (iv) the linear operator  $K_P : \text{Im} L \longrightarrow \text{dom} L \cap \text{Ker} P$  can be written by

$$K_P y = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_n} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_n;$$

- (v)  $\|K_P y\| \leq \|y\|_1$ , for all  $y \in \text{Im} L$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $f : [0, 1] \times R^n \longrightarrow R$  be a continuous function, assume that  $(H_1)$  in Theorem 1 is satisfied, and

$(H_4)$  There exists a constant  $M > 0$ , such that, for any  $x \in \text{dom} L$ , if  $|x^{(n-1)}(t)| > M$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f\left(\tau_1, x(\tau_1), x'(\tau_1), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(\tau_1)\right) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-1} \neq 0.$$

$(H_5)$  There exists a constant  $M^* > 0$ , such that, for  $c \in R$ , if  $|c| > M^*$ , then, either

$$c \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f\left(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!\right) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-1} < 0,$$

or else

$$c \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \int_{\eta_j}^1 \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \left[ f\left(\tau_1, c\tau_1^{n-1}, c(n-1)\tau_1^{n-2}, \dots, c \cdot (n-1)!\right) + e(\tau_1) \right] d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-1} > 0.$$

Then, for every  $e(t) \in L^1[0, 1]$ , the BVP (1),(3) with  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-2} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \eta_j^{n-1} \neq 1$ , has at least one solution in  $C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ , provided that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1 < 1/2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, Solvability of  $m$ -point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **212**, 467–480, (1997).
2. W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, Solvability of three-point boundary value problems at resonance, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Meth. Appl.* **30**, 3227–3238, (1997).
3. B. Prezeradzki and R. Stańczy, Solvability of a multi-point boundary value problem at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **264**, 253–261, (2001).
4. B. Liu, Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance (II), *Appl. Math. Comput.* **136**, 353–377, (2003).
5. C.P. Gupta, Solvability of multi-point boundary value problems at resonance, *Results Math.* **28**, 270–276, (1995).
6. C.P. Gupta, A second order  $m$ -point boundary value problem at resonance, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl.* **24**, 1483–1489, (1995).
7. C.P. Gupta, Existence theorems for a second order  $m$ -point boundary value problem at resonance, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **18**, 705–710, (1995).
8. C.P. Gupta, On a third-order boundary value problem at resonance, *Differential Integrel Equations* **2**, 1–12, (1989).
9. R.Y. Ma, Multiplicity results for a third order value problem at resonance, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl.* **32**, 493–499, (1998).
10. R.K. Nagle and K.L. Pothoven, On a third-order nonlinear boundary value problems at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **195**, 148–159, (1995).
11. A. Cabada and E. Liz, Boundary value problems for higher order ordinary differential equations with impulses, *Nonlinear Anal. Meth. Theory Appl.* **32**, 775–786, (1998).
12. F.H. Wong, An application of Schauder's fixed point theorem with respect to higher order BVPs, In *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, pp. 2389–2397, vol. 126, (1998).
13. J. Mawhin, Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems, In *NSFCBMS Regional Conference series in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1979).
14. J. Mawhin, Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations, In *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations P.M. Fitzpertrick, M. Martelli, J. Mawhin and R. Nussbaum Editors, Volume 1537, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1991).
15. D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA U.S.A., (1988).